Sovereign Debt News Update No. 177: Malawi's Deepening Debt Distress: IMF Negotiations, Financing Constraints and the Search for Economic Recovery

By:

The African Sovereign Debt Justice Network

September 07, 2026

Malawi enters the second half of 2026 facing a deepening debt and economic crisis marked by high public indebtedness, persistent foreign exchange shortages, elevated inflation, weak growth prospects, and increasing dependence on external support. Against this backdrop, the government is seeking a new programme with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) following the expiry of its previous Extended Credit Facility (ECF) arrangement in May 2025. The anticipated IMF mission, scheduled for 9 to 18 June 2026, has generated significant debate among economists, policymakers, development partners and citizens alike. While some view a new IMF arrangement as critical for restoring macroeconomic stability and rebuilding donor confidence, others warn that Malawi risks repeating a familiar cycle of securing financial support without implementing the reforms necessary to achieve lasting economic recovery. Recent assessments by the African Development Bank (AfDB), the IMF, international financial institutions, and private sector analysts paint a concerning picture of a country facing growing debt distress and shrinking fiscal space. This update examines the latest developments surrounding Malawi's debt situation, ongoing IMF negotiations, donor financing challenges, debt sustainability concerns, and the broader implications for the country's economic future.

Malawi's Current Debt Status

Malawi's debt indicators continue to reflect severe fiscal stress. According to government figures cited by economic analysts, total public debt reached K23.9 trillion (approximately US$13.7 billion) by December 2025, representing approximately 90 percent of gross domestic product (GDP). Domestic debt accounted for K16 trillion (approximately US$9.2 billion) of this amount, highlighting the government's increasing reliance on local borrowing to finance budget deficits and cover expenditure needs. The rising debt burden has significantly constrained fiscal space, with the government expected to spend approximately K2.7 trillion (about US$1.54 billion) on interest payments alone during the current financial year. These debt servicing obligations are crowding out expenditure on critical sectors such as health, education, agriculture, climate adaptation, and infrastructure development. The IMF has already classified Malawi as being in debt distress, reflecting concerns about the country's ability to sustainably manage and repay its obligations. The country's worsening debt profile has become a central concern among development partners and financial institutions, raising questions about future financing options and long-term economic sustainability.

A New IMF Programme Comes into Focus

Against this challenging backdrop, attention has turned to the possibility of a new IMF-supported programme. An IMF mission is expected to visit Malawi between 9 and 18 June 2026 to discuss a possible new Extended Credit Facility arrangement. The negotiations follow the mutual suspension of Malawi's previous four-year ECF programme worth US$175 million in May 2025.

Importantly, the previous programme ended without completing a review, raising concerns regarding implementation and reform credibility. According to the African Forum and Network on Debt and Development (AFRODAD), the suspension of debt service also raised critical questions regarding the credibility of the policy solutions offered by the IMF. The anticipated programme is widely viewed as an important signal to development partners and international financial markets regarding Malawi's commitment to fiscal adjustment and structural reforms.

Finance Minister Joseph Mwanamvekha has described the upcoming IMF mission as an opportunity for Malawi and the Fund to reach what he termed a "*win-win*" agreement that supports economic recovery while addressing the country's macroeconomic challenges. The finance minister emphasised that any economic reforms under discussion with the IMF must include safeguards to protect vulnerable Malawians from the adverse social impacts of adjustment measures. He expressed optimism that constructive engagement with the IMF could pave the way for a new programme capable of restoring stability, strengthening confidence among development partners and supporting ongoing reform efforts. Nevertheless, experts have cautioned against viewing the IMF programme as a solution in itself, stressing that successful implementation will determine whether any new arrangement achieves its intended objectives.

Reactions from Economists and Development Experts

Several economists and policy analysts have argued that Malawi's challenge is not securing IMF programmes but implementing agreed reforms. Former Reserve Bank of Malawi Governor and economist Dalitso Kabambe stressed that Malawi has repeatedly struggled with policy consistency, fiscal discipline and reform implementation under previous IMF-supported arrangements. Speaking ahead of the June IMF mission, Kabambe warned that obtaining another IMF programme should not be viewed as an achievement in itself. Rather, he argued that the true measure of success lies in implementation and adherence to agreed policy commitments. Similar concerns have been echoed by other economists who note that Malawi's previous programme expired without completing a review, raising questions about institutional capacity and political commitment to reforms.

Public finance expert Dalitso Kubalasa urged Malawi to approach the upcoming IMF negotiations with a fundamentally different mindset, arguing that reforms should be pursued to improve citizens' welfare rather than merely satisfy donor requirements. He identified fiscal discipline, realistic exchange rate management and strengthened social protection measures as critical priorities, while emphasising the need for broad consultation with Parliament, the private sector and civil society to build support for reforms before negotiations commence. Malawi Economic Justice Network (MEJN) Executive Director, Bertha Phiri, also warned that government can no longer rely on policy statements and promises alone, stressing that the IMF will expect tangible results rather than plans. She said authorities must demonstrate clear progress in narrowing the budget deficit, strengthening revenue collection, controlling expenditure and preventing the accumulation of arrears. Undoubtedly, Phiri’s remarks highlight the dual challenge facing government in convincing both the IMF and the public that reforms are being implemented in a credible and meaningful way.

Overall Context

Malawi's debt situation has increasingly attracted international attention. In April 2026, Citi's Chief Africa Economist David Cowan identified Malawi as one of three African countries most at risk of sovereign debt default within the next two years, alongside Senegal and Mozambique. Cowan attributed the risks to currency weakness, rising debt burdens and growing difficulties in servicing hard currency obligations. He observed that while Malawi's debt profile differs from that of many bond-issuing countries because it lacks international bonds, severe exchange rate pressures could still create significant repayment challenges. Although Cowan suggested that any default could potentially be resolved relatively quickly because Malawi's debt is concentrated among multilateral and bilateral creditors, his assessment nonetheless underscores growing concerns among market participants regarding the country's fiscal outlook. This warning places Malawi within a broader continental context in which debt vulnerabilities continue to affect several African economies despite recent restructuring efforts elsewhere.

Malawi's financing challenges have been further aggravated by declining international aid flows. According to the IMF's latest regional assessment, aid to Sub-Saharan Africa declined by between *16% and 28% during 2025*. The IMF described these reductions as "*a shock like no other*" because they are occurring simultaneously across many countries and are primarily driven by donor policy decisions rather than country-specific factors. For Malawi, where donor support has historically financed both development projects and portions of government expenditure, the decline in aid is exposing long-standing structural weaknesses. The IMF warned that shrinking aid flows are increasing fiscal pressures and highlighting vulnerabilities that had previously been masked by external support. Reduced grant inflows also mean fewer foreign currency resources entering the economy, exacerbating already severe foreign exchange shortages.

One of the most significant recent developments is Malawi's transition from a country eligible for both concessional loans and grants to one that increasingly depends solely on grants from development partners. Nyasa Times reported that an African Development Bank (AfDB) assessment indicated that Malawi had become a "grant-only" country under the African Development Fund due to debt distress, foreign exchange shortages and macroeconomic challenges. According to the AfDB, rising public debt, weak economic performance, shortages of foreign exchange and continued depreciation of the kwacha have significantly reduced the country's ability to absorb additional borrowing. This development represents a major warning signal regarding Malawi's fiscal health. While grant financing reduces the immediate risk of accumulating new debt, reliance on grants also exposes the country to fluctuations in donor priorities and external funding conditions.

The Road Ahead for Debt Sustainability

Looking ahead, Malawi faces a complex policy landscape. A new IMF programme could provide an opportunity to rebuild credibility, strengthen macroeconomic management and unlock additional support from development partners. However, experts increasingly agree that financial assistance alone will not resolve the country's structural challenges. Sustainable recovery will likely require a combination of fiscal consolidation, improved public financial management, stronger governance systems, enhanced export performance, foreign exchange generation, and careful debt management. Addressing these challenges will be particularly important given the country's debt distress classification and limited access to new borrowing. The success of future reforms will depend not only on technical policy design but also on political commitment and institutional capacity to implement agreed measures consistently over time.

Conclusion

Malawi's current debt situation illustrates the interconnected nature of debt sustainability, economic governance, development financing and macroeconomic stability. The country's transition to grant-only financing, continued debt distress, shrinking aid flows, and renewed engagement with the IMF all point to an economy under considerable pressure. While the upcoming IMF negotiations may offer a pathway toward stabilisation and renewed confidence, economists have repeatedly stressed that the effectiveness of any future programme will depend on implementation rather than agreement alone. The coming months are therefore likely to be critical in determining whether Malawi can break the cycle of repeated financial crises and lay the foundations for sustainable and inclusive economic recovery. For debt justice advocates and civil society organisations, the developments also underscore the importance of ensuring that debt management, fiscal reforms and external financing arrangements contribute to long-term development objectives while safeguarding the welfare of ordinary Malawians.

View online: Sovereign Debt News Update No. 177: Malawi's Deepening Debt Distress: IMF Negotiations, Financing Constraints and the Search for Economic Recovery

Provided by Afronomicslaw